

and condemnation of 7 tubs of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at New York, N. Y., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Willow River Creamery Co., from Willow River, Minn., on or about August 15, 1929, and transported from the State of Minnesota into the State of New York, and charging adulteration and misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that a substance deficient in butterfat had been mixed and packed with it so as to reduce or lower or injuriously affect its quality or strength, and had been substituted wholly or in part for the said article.

Misbranding was alleged for the reason that the article was offered for sale under the distinctive name of another article.

On September 3, 1929, the Willow River Creamery Co., Willow River, Minn., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of costs and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$200, conditioned in part that it be reworked and reprocessed so that it contain at least 80 per cent of butterfat.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

**16763. Adulteration of butter. U. S. v. 34 Tubs of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 24026. I. S. No. 09298. S. No. 2236.)**

On August 6, 1929, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 34 tubs of butter, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Chicago, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Platte Creamery Products, from Platte, S. Dak., on July 25, 1929, and transported from the State of South Dakota into the State of Illinois, and charging adulteration in violation of the food and drugs act.

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated in that excessive water had been mixed and packed therewith so as to reduce and lower and injuriously affect its quality and strength, in that a substance deficient in milk fat and high in moisture had been substituted wholly or in part for the said article, in that a valuable constituent of the article, butterfat, had been in part abstracted therefrom, and in that it contained less than 80 per cent of butterfat.

On September 10, 1929, the Beatrice Creamery Co., Chicago, Ill., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to the said claimant upon payment of costs and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$1,000, conditioned in part that it be reprocessed, under the supervision of this department, so that it contain not less than 80 per cent of butterfat.

ARTHUR M. HYDE, *Secretary of Agriculture.*

**16764. Misbranding of butter. U. S. v. 5 Cartons of Butter. Consent decree of condemnation and forfeiture. Product released under bond. (F. & D. No. 23938. I. S. No. 03713. S. No. 2124.)**

On July 19, 1929, the United States attorney for the District of New Jersey, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 5 cartons, each purporting to contain 32 pounds of butter, at Jersey City, N. J., alleging that the article had been transported by Suchman & McRoberts (Inc.), Jersey City, N. J., from the premises of Kaplan & Levine, New York, N. Y., on or about July 15, 1929, and transported from the State of New York into the State of New Jersey, and charging misbranding in violation of the food and drugs act as amended. The article was labeled in part: "Efco Brand Creamery Butter \* \* \* One Pound \* \* \* Eli Freedman Co. Inc., New York City, N. Y."

It was alleged in the libel that the article was misbranded in that the statement on the label, "One Pound," was false and misleading and deceived and misled the purchaser, and in that it was food in package form and the quantity